



## 2. COORDINATION WITH IHO AND IHMA

A second meeting of the IHO and IALA Secretariats took place at IHO HQ on 27-28 June 2018, to discuss coordination of the work of the two organisations, including a joint input to HGDM 2. Refer to input paper PAP36-9.1.1.

A third IALA-IHO meeting will take place at IALA HQ on 24 September 2018.

The IHMA has indicated its intention to join IALA and IHO as a third joint submitter, and to provide Template 4.

## 3. SUBMISSION TO IMO HGDM 2 BY IHO AND IALA, AND TIMETABLE FOR IALA APPROVAL PROCESS

Following a GoToMeeting with HGDM Chair Mr Sunbae HONG, the DSG and TOM understand that Mr Hong is seeking input to HGDM 2 in the form of Templates only.

A first draft of the submission to convey the IALA and IHO (and we hope IHMA) Templates is at input paper PAP36-7.1.2.2

The draft timetable is as follows:

- IALA Secretariat prepares the text of a draft joint IALA-IHO submission to HGDM2 during August
  - Based on the agreement made at the IALA-IHO in Monaco in June and the GTM with Mr Hong in July
  - (Draft prepared and sent to IHO on 2018-08-21)
- Discuss this draft at PAP36 – refer input paper PAP36-7.1.2.2
- The draft joint IALA-IHO submission is discussed with IHO on 24-25 September at a meeting of IHO and IALA Secretariats at IALA HQ
- VTS 45 to:-
  - Finalise Templates for MS 1, 2, 3 (VTS)
  - Discuss IHMA draft Template 4
    - IHMA has indicated today that it plans to be a joint submitter to HGDM2 with IALA and IHO
- Desirable if time allows for ENAV 22 to see the draft submission
- Final OK by IHO
- To IALA Council for approval on 9 October 2018, anticipating approval by 16 October 2018
  - Noting for IALA Councillors that the Templates by IHO and IHMA are the responsibility of those organisations, not IALA
- Submission by IHO to IMO by 19 October

In future, subject to agreement by PAP36, it will be the ARM Committee which leads operational considerations related to maritime services, presumably with a new ARM WG taking charge of this work – the work previously done by the Jon-Leon Ervik Group from ENAV.

Therefore it is logical that ARM should lead this type of submission to IMO in future, although this time the closing date at IMO does not permit our submission to go via ARM 8.

## 4. ACTION REQUESTED OF THE PANEL

The Panel is requested to discuss and agree on the content of, and the timetable, for the submission to IMO.